**EXHIBIT D** 

ATTORNEY OR PAREN GONDON NAME OF GRADING AND STANKING SOLUTION OF THE S	ஐட்டையுக்குந்⊳amornia, County or Orang # 3 - DAVID H. YAMASAKI, Clerk of t	ge, 04/1 1/2023 10:59:32 Alvi. he Court By K. Cfifflet, Deputy Clerk.
Shalchi Burch LLP, 23 Corporate Plaza Dr., Si		
TELEPHONE NO.: (949) 359-0334	FAX NO. (Optional): (949) 326-0083	
ATTORNEY FOR (Numo): Plaintiff Jasmine Ramirez		_}
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF	FORANGE	
STREET ADDRESS: 700 Civic Center Drive West MAILING ADDRESS: 700 Civic Center Drive West		
CITY AND ZIP CODE: Santa Ana. CA 92702		
BRANCH NAME: CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER		]
CASE NAME: Jasmine Ramirez v. Costco Wholesale Corporation,	et al.	
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Designation	CASE NUMBER:
■ Unlimited	Counter Joinder	30-2023-01318756-CU-0E-CJC
(Amount (Amount demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defendant	Indeplication   Harrist Ind
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	DEPT.:
	low must be completed (see instructions o	n page 2).
1. Check one box below for the case type the		Providensilla Complete Chill I March -
Auto Tort Auto (22)		Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400–3.403)
Uninsured motorist (46)	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)
Other PUPD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40)
Asbestos (04)	Other contract (37)	Securities litigation (28)
Product liability (24)  Medical malpractice (45)	Real Property	Environmental/Toxic tort (30) Insurance coverage claims arising from the
	Eminent domain/inverse condemnation (14)	above listed provisionally complex case
Other PI/PD/WD (23) Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Wrongful eviction (33)	types (41) Enforcement of Judgment
Business tor/unfair business practice (07		Enforcement of Judgment (20)
Civil rights (08)	Unlawful Detainer	Miscellaneous Civil Complaint
Defamation (13)	Commercial (31)	RICO (27)
Fraud (16)	Residential (32)	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)
Intellectual property (19)	Drugs (38) Judicial Review	Miscellaneous Civil Petition
Professional negligence (25) Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Asset forfeiture (05)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)
Employment	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)
Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)	
County Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)	
2. This case is is not con	nplex under rule 3.400 of the California Ru	les of Court. If the case is complex, mark the
factors requiring exceptional judicial manag	=	
a. Large number of separately repre	-	er of witnesses
b. Extensive motion practice raising issues that will be time-consumin	g to resolve courts in other	with related actions pending in one or more ar counties, states, or countries, or in a federal
c. Substantial amount of documents	ary evidence court  f. Substantial p	ostjudgment judicial supervision
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a	monetary b. nonmonetary;	eclaratory or Injunctive relief c.  punitive
4. Number of causes of action (specify): Tw	0	
	lass action suit.	
	and serve a notice of related case. (You m	ay use form CM-015.)
Date: April 10, 2023 Travis J. Burch, Esq.	<b>\</b>	
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)
	NOTICE	/
<ul> <li>Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the funder the Probate Code, Family Code, or in sanctions.</li> </ul>		except small claims cases or cases filed of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result
<ul> <li>File this cover sheet in addition to any cove</li> <li>If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et</li> </ul>		nust serve a copy of this cover sheet on all
other parties to the action or proceeding.  • Unless this is a collections case under rule	3.740 or a complex case, this cover shee	will be used for statistical purposes only.

Form Adapted for Mandatory Uso Judicial Council of California

#### INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET

CM-010 To Plaintiffs and Others Filling First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the Civil Case Cover Sheet contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The Identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the Civil Case Cover Sheet to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiff's designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that the case is complex.

CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES

Auto Tort

Contract

Provisionally Complex Civil Literation (Called Contract)

**Auto Tort** Auto (22)-Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the case involves an uninsured motorist claim subject to arbitration, check this item instead of Auto) Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/ Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort Asbestos (04) **Asbestos Property Damage** Asbestos Personal Injury/ Wrongful Death Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental) (24) Medical Malpractice (45) Medical Majoractice-Physicians & Surgeons Other Professional Health Care Malpractice Other PI/PD/WD (23) Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall) Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD (e.g., assault, vandalism) intentional infliction of **Emotional Distress** Negligent Infliction of **Emotional Distress** Other PI/PD/WD Non-PUPD/WD (Other) Tort **Business Tort/Unfair Business** Practice (07) Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination, false arrest) (not civil harassment) (08) Defamation (e.g., stander, libel) (13)

Breach of Contract/Warranty (06) Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction) Contract/Warranty Breach-Seller Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence) Negligent Breach of Contract/ Warranty Other Breach of Contract/Warranty Collections (e.g., money owed, open book accounts) (09) Collection Case-Seller Plaintiff Other Promissory Note/Collections Insurance Coverage (not provisionally complex) (18) **Auto Subrogation** Other Coverage Other Contract (37) Contractual Fraud Other Contract Dispute **Real Property Eminent Domain/Inverse** Condemnation (14) Wrongful Eviction (33) Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26) Writ of Possession of Real Property Mortgage Foreclosure **Quiet Title** Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, or foreclosure) Unlawful Detainer Commercial (31) Residential (32) Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal drugs, check this item; otherwise, report as Commercial or Residential) Judicial Review Asset Forfeiture (05)

Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court Rules 3,400-3,403) Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03) Construction Defect (10) Claims involving Mass Tort (40) Securities Litigation (28) Environmental/Toxic Tort (30) Insurance Coverage Claims (arising from provisionally complex case type listed above) (41) **Enforcement of Judgment** Enforcement of Judgment (20) Abstract of Judgment (Out of County) Confession of Judgment (nondomestic relations) Sister State Judgment Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes) Petition/Certification of Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Taxes Other Enforcement of Judgment Case Miscellaneous Civil Complaint **RICO (27)** Other Complaint (not specified above) (42) **Declaratory Relief Only** Injunctive Relief Only (nonharassment) Mechanics Llen Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex) Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex) Miscellaneous Civil Petition Partnership and Corporate Governance (21) Other Petition (not specified above) (43) Civil Harassment Workplace Violence Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse **Election Contest** Petition for Name Change Petition for Relief From Late Claim Other Civil Petition

CM-010 [Rev. July 1, 2007]

**Employment** 

Fraud (16)

Intellectual Property (19)

Legal Malpractice

Professional Negligence (25)

Other Professional Malpractice

(not medical or legal)

Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35)

Wrongful Termination (36)

Other Employment (15)

**CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET** 

Pelition Re: Arbitration Award (11)

Writ-Administrative Mandamus

Writ-Other Limited Court Case

Review of Health Officer Order

Notice of Appeal–Labor Commissioner Appeals

Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court

Writ of Mandate (02)

Review

Case Matter

Other Judicial Review (39)

Electronically filed by Superior Court of California. County of Crange, 04/11/2023 10:59:32 AM 30-2023-01318756-CÚ-OE-C

30-2023-01318756-CÚ-OE-CJĆ - ROA # 4 - DAVID H. YAMASAKI, CI	erk of the Court By K. Climer, Deputy Clerk.
SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)	FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)
NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO): COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION, a Washington Corporation; and DOES inclusive	S 1 through 5,
YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE): JASMINE RAMIREZ	
NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard below.  You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these council court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the be taken without further warning from the court.  There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you cereferral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org) (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's [AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 dlas, la corte puede decidir en scontinuación.  Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónic en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede paga le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.  Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los req programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.succolegio de abogados locales. AVISO: P	It to file a written response at this court and have a copy at be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your out forms and more information at the California Courts unthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate g), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center.  NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. So contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a degales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta ca no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Le las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la lar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá el si in o conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de un se lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, orte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre necesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que
The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y dirección de la corte es): SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF ORANGE-700 Civic Center Dr. W, Santa Ana, CA, 92702	CASE NUMBER: (Número del Caso): 30-2023-01318756-CU-0E-CJC Judge David J. Hesseltine
The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without	out an attorney, is:

(El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es): Travis J. Burch, Esq./Shalchi Burch LLP/23 Corporate Plaza Dr., Ste. 150, Newport Beach, CA, 92660, (949) 359-0334 DATE: 04/11/2023 DAVID H. YAMASAKI, Clerk of the Court Clerk, by . Deputy (Secretario)

(For proof of service of this summons, use Proof of Service of Summons (form POS-010).)

(Para prueba de entrega de esta citatión use el formulario, Proof of Service of Summons, (POS-010))

	the there is a second and the second
	NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served
COURTORCY	1. as an individual defendant.
	2. as the person sued under the fictitious name of (specify):
A TOTAL N	3. xx on behalf of (specify): Costco Wholesale Corporation, a Washington Corporation
Colonia Coloni	under: XX CCP 416.10 (corporation) CCP 416.60 (minor)
VYY OF OR A	CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation) CCP 416.70 (conservatee)
	CCP 416.40 (association or partnership) CCP 416.90 (authorized person)
	other (specify):
	4. by personal delivery on (date):

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California SUM-100 [Rev. July 1, 2009]

**SUMMONS** 

Code of Civil Procedure §§ 412.20, 465 www.courts.ca.gov

K. Climer

(Adjunto)

Page 1 of 1



# **SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA**

#### **COUNTY OF ORANGE**

#### Superior Court of California, County of Orange

700 W. Civic Center Drive Santa Ana, CA 92702

**PAYMENT RECEIPT** 

E-Filing Transaction #: 41473234

Receipt #: 13036266

Clerk ID: kclimer Transaction No: 13208144 Transaction Date: 04/13/2023 Transaction Time: 11:44:00 AM

Transfer Homilion						
Case Number	Fee Type	Qty	Fee Amount\$	Balance Due	Amount Paid	Remaining Balance
30-2023-01318756-CU-OE-CJC	194 - Complaint or other 1st paper	1	\$435.00	\$435.00	\$435.00	\$0.00
				Sales Tax:	\$0.00	
				Total:	\$435.00	Total Rem. Bal:
E-Filing : - OneLegal						
				E-Filing:	\$435.00	
			Total Amo	unt Tendered:	\$435.00	
				Change Due:	\$0.00	
				Balance:	\$0.00	

A \$45 fee may be charged for each returned check, electronic funds transfer or credit card payment.

**COPY** 

STREET ADDRESS: 700 W. Civic Center DRIVE

MAILING ADDRESS: 700 W. Civic Center Drive CITY AND ZIP CODE: Santa Ana 92701 BRANCH NAME: Central Justice Center PLANTIFF: Jasmine Ramirez

**DEFENDANT: Costco Wholesale Corporation** 

Short Title: RAMIREZ VS. COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION

## **NOTICE OF HEARING** CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE

CASE NUMBER: 30-2023-01318756-CU-OE-CJC

Please take notice that a(n), <u>Case Management Conference</u> has been scheduled for hearing on 09/15/2023 at 09:30:00 AM in Department C23 of this court, located at Central Justice Center.

Plaintiff(s)/Petitioner(s) to provide notice to all defendant(s)/respondent(s). Parties who file pleadings that add new parties to the proceeding must provide notice of the Case Management Conference to the newly added parties.

**IMPORTANT:** Prior to your hearing date, please check the Court's website for the most current instructions regarding how to appear for your hearing and access services that are available to answer your questions. Civil Matters - https://www.occourts.org/media-relations/civil.html

Probate/Mental Health - https://www.occourts.org/media-relations/probate-mental-health.html Appellate Division - https://www.occourts.org/media-relations/appeals-records.html

**IMPORTANTE:** Antes de la fecha de su audiencia, visite el sitio web de la Corte para saber cuáles son las instrucciones más actuales para participar en la audiencia y tener acceso a los servicios disponibles para responder a sus preguntas.

Casos Civiles - https://www.occourts.org/media-relations/civil.html

Casos de Probate y Salud Mental - https://www.occourts.org/media-relations/probate-mental-health.html División de apelaciones - https://www.occourts.org/media-relations/appeals-records.html

QUAN TRONG: Trước ngày phiên tòa của quý vị, vui lòng kiểm tra trang mang của tòa án để biết những hướng dẫn mới nhất về cách ra hầu phiên tòa của quý vị và tiếp cận những dịch vụ hiện có để giải đáp những thắc mắc của quý vị.

Vấn Đề Dân Sư - https://www.occourts.org/media-relations/civil.html

Thủ Tuc Di Chúc/Sức Khoe Tinh Thần - https://www.occourts.org/media-relations/probate-mental-health.html Ban phúc thẩm - https://www.occourts.org/media-relations/appeals-records.html

Clerk of the Court, By:, Deputy	 NOTICE OF HEARING	Page: 1
	Clerk of the Court, By:	, Deputy
		•

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE Central Justice Center 700 W. Civic Center DRIVE Santa Ana 92701	
SHORT TITLE: RAMIREZ VS. COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION	
CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY MAIL	CASE NUMBER: 30-2023-01318756-CU-OE-CJC

I certify that I am not a party to this cause. I certify that a true copy of the above Notice of Hearing has been placed for collection and mailing so as to cause it to be mailed in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid pursuant to standard court practices and addressed as indicated below. The certification occurred at Santa Ana, California, on 04/13/2023. Following standard court practice the mailing will occur at Sacramento, California on 04/14/2023.

01 1 64 0 1 1	•
Clerk of the Court, by:	Denuty

SHALCHI BURCH LLP 23 CORPORATE PLAZA DRIVE # 150 NEWPORT BEACH, CA 92660

Page: 2

Electronically Filed by Superior Court of California, County of Orange, 04/19/2023 08:00:00 AM. 30-2023-01318756-CU-OE-CJC - ROA # 9 - DAVID H. YAMASAKI, Clerk of the Court By E. efilinguser, Deputy Clerk - POS-010

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address): Travis J. Burch, 216175 Shalchi Burch LLP	FOR COURT USE ONLY
23 Corporate Plaza Dr., Ste. 150, Newport Beach, CA 92660 теlерноме мо.: 949-359-0334 ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF	
Superior Court of California, Orange County	
700 Civic Center Drive West	
Santa Ana, CA 92702-1994	
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Jasmine Ramirez	CASE NUMBER:
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Costco Wholesale Corporation, et al.	30-2023-01318756
PROOF OF SERVICE OF SUMMONS	Ref. No. or File No.: Ramirez

- 1. At the time of service I was a citizen of the United States, at least 18 years of age and not a party to this action.
- 2. I served copies of:

Summons; Complaint; Civil Case Cover Sheet; Notice of Hearing Case Management Conference OC; Alternative Dispute Resolution Information Package

- 3. a. Party served: Costco Wholesale Corporation, a Washington Corporation
  - b. Person Served: CT Corporation System Jessie Gastelum, Intake Specialist Person Authorized to Accept Service of Process
- 4. Address where the party was served: 330 N Brand Blvd Glendale, CA 91203
- 5. I served the party
  - a. **by personal service**. I personally delivered the documents listed in item 2 to the party or person authorized to receive service of process for the party (1) on (date): 04/18/2023 (2) at (time): 12:19PM
- 6. The "Notice to the Person Served" (on the summons) was completed as follows:
  - d. on behalf of:

Costco Wholesale Corporation, a Washington Corporation under: CCP 416.10 (corporation)

- 7. Person who served papers
  - a. Name: Jessica Brown
  - b. Address: One Legal P-000618-Sonoma

1400 North McDowell Blvd, Ste 300

Petaluma, CA 94954

- c. Telephone number: 415-491-0606
- d. The fee for service was: \$ 40.00
- e I am:
  - (3) registered California process server.
    - (i) Employee or independent contractor.
    - (ii) Registration No.: 2019217220
    - (iii) County: Los Angeles
- 8. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America and the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: 04/18/2023

Jessica Brown

(NAME OF PERSON WHO SERVED PAPERS)

(SIGNATURE)

Code of Civil Procedure, § 417.10





Confirmation #: 28426689

Case Title: Ramirez vs. Costco Wholesale Corporation

Thank you for choosing One Legal. If you have any questions about this order, please email us at support@onelegal.com.

#### CASE INFORMATION

Court Name: Orange County, Superior

Court of California

**Court Branch:** Central Justice Center Santa

Ana

Case Title: Ramirez vs. Costco

Wholesale Corporation

**Case Category:** Civil - Unlimited Case Type: Other employment Case #:

30-2023-01318756-CU-OE-

CJC

## **ORDER DETAILS**

Order Type: eFiling Filing order #: 20413059

Date/Time Submitted: 5/15/2023 10:37 AM PT

**Client Billing Code:** 0HKS-373424 Janet Jackson **Contact Name: Attorney Name:** Travis Anderson

**Email Notification:** Contact

Special Instructions: Kindly file and provide

conformed copy at your earliest opportunity. Thank

you!

#### **DOCUMENTS**

Document Type	Document Title	Pages Uploaded
Answer to Complaint	Answer to Complaint	10

© One Legal LLC Version: 7.0.1841 | Customer #0064842

Accessibility statement (https://www.onelegal.com/accessibility/) | Privacy policy

(https://www.onelegal.com/privacy/) | Terms of service (https://www.onelegal.com/terms/)

SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP A Limited Liability Partnership 2 **Including Professional Corporations** TRAVIS J. ANDERSON, Cal Bar No. 265540 JENNA G. CRAWFORD, Cal Bar No. 311415 3 12275 El Camino Real, Suite 100 San Diego, California 92130-4092 Telephone: 858.720.8900 Facsimile: 858.509.3691 E mail tanderson@sheppardmullin.com 6 jcrawford@sheppardmullin.com 7 Attorneys for Costco Wholesale Corporation 8 9 10 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 COUNTY OF ORANGE, CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER 12 13 JASMINE RAMIREZ, Case No. 30-2023-01318756-CU-OE-CJC Assigned for all purposes to: Hon. David J. Hesseltine, Dept C23 14 Plaintiff, 15 v. ANSWER TO COMPLAINT COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION, a [Complaint Filed: April 11, 2023] 17 Washington Corporation; and DOES 1 Trial Date: through 5, 18 inclusive, 19 Defendants. 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 -1-SMRH:4857-0165-8206.1 ANSWER TO COMPLAINT

1	TO PLAINTIFF JASMINE RAMIREZ AND HER ATTORNEYS OF
2	RECORD:
3	Defendant Costco Wholesale Corporation ("Costco"), for itself alone, hereby
4	responds to Plaintiff's unverified Complaint as follows:
5	
6	Under California Code of Civil Procedure § 431.30, Costco denies each and
7	every allegation contained in Plaintiff's unverified Complaint.
8	
9	AND FOR ITS AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO THE COMPLAINT AND
10	TO EACH PURPORTED CAUSE OF ACTION THEREIN, COSTCO ALLEGES:
11	
12	<u>FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u>
13	(Failure to State Cause of Action)
14	The Complaint and/or each claim contained therein fails to state facts
15	sufficient to constitute a cause of action against Costco.
16	
17	SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
18	(C.C.P. § 430.10(f))
19	The Complaint is ambiguous and unintelligible in that it does not allege with
20	sufficient specificity the grounds upon which Costco is alleged to be responsible, in whole
21	or in part, for Plaintiff's alleged damages.
22	
23	THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
24	(Failure to Mitigate)
25	Costco is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Plaintiff has failed
26	to mitigate her losses, if any, and as a result of such failure, Plaintiff's claims against
27	Costco are reduced, excused and/or discharged.
28	
	-2-
	SMRH:4857-0165-8206.1 ANSWER TO COMPLAINT
	'

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

# FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Misrepresentation)

Any of the conduct of Costco which is alleged to be unlawful or improper was taken as the result of misrepresentations or other wrongful conduct by Plaintiff.

## FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Privilege/Justification)

Plaintiff's purported claims for relief, and each of them, are barred in whole or in part by Costco's privilege, business necessity and justification under the circumstances for the acts and omissions alleged in the Complaint.

## SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Estoppel)

Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or part, by virtue of the application of the doctrine of estoppel.

## **SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

(After-Acquired Evidence)

Costco is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the doctrine of after-acquired evidence operates as a bar to each and every claim alleged in Plaintiff's Complaint and/or limits any damages recoverable by Plaintiff.

## **EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

(Business Necessity)

Any of the conduct of Costco which is alleged to be unlawful or improper was taken for reasons of business justification and necessity under the circumstances and in accordance with business practices.

-3-SMRH:4857-0165-8206.1 ANSWER TO COMPLAINT 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

#### NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Good Faith)

Costco is informed and believes, and based upon such information and belief alleges, that Costco acted in good faith with honesty of purpose and without any improper motive, purpose or means, and without any hatred, ill will, malice, or intent to injure.

## **TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

(Comparative Fault)

To the extent Plaintiff has suffered any damages as a result of the facts alleged in the Complaint, persons and entities other than Costco are negligent and/or at fault in connection with those acts, and by reason thereof, Plaintiff's rights of recovery from Costco should be reduced by that amount which the negligence and fault of persons and entities other than Costco contributed to any damages.

## ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Statute of Limitations)

Costco is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that some or all of Plaintiff's claims and allegations are barred by the applicable statutes of limitation.

#### TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Laches)

As to each and every claim purporting to sound in equity, Plaintiff's Complaint is barred by laches.

## THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Unclean Hands)

As to each and every claim purporting to sound in equity, Plaintiff's Complaint is barred by the doctrine of unclean hands.

-4-

SMRH:4857-0165-8206.1

## FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Comparative Negligence)

Costco is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Plaintiff, by her acts and conduct, has failed to exercise reasonable care and diligence in her own behalf, thereby contributing to her alleged damages. Plaintiff's recovery against Costco, if any, must be reduced by the proportion of damages caused by the acts and conduct of Plaintiff as opposed to that of Costco.

8 9

10

11

13

14

15

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

#### FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(No Proximate Causation of Emotional Distress)

If Plaintiff has suffered any emotional distress (and Costco denies that Plaintiff has suffered any such distress), such emotional distress was proximately caused by factors other than the acts and/or omissions of Costco, or anyone acting on Costco's behalf, and/or Plaintiff contributed to her distress and, because of this contribution, any remedy to which she might otherwise be entitled must be denied or reduced.

16

17

#### SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Consent)

18

19

As to any act or conduct on the part of Costco alleged to have been unlawful, Plaintiff consented to that act or conduct.

21

22

23

24

25

26

20

## **SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

(Legitimate, Non-Discriminatory Motives)

Plaintiff cannot recover under any claim alleged in the Complaint because Costco acted in good faith and had legitimate, non-discriminatory reasons for all employment decisions made in regard to Plaintiff.

27

28

-5-

# EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Workers' Compensation Exclusive Remedy)

Costco is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Plaintiff's Complaint, or parts thereof, is barred for lack of subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to the provisions of Sections 3600, et seq. and 5300 of the California Labor Code inasmuch as the California Workers' Compensation Appeals Board is vested with the exclusive jurisdiction over the allegations contained therein. Additionally, Costco is entitled to a setoff against any recovery by Plaintiff through workers' compensation.

9

10

11

12

13

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

#### **NINETEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

(Labor Management Relations Act Preemption)

Plaintiff's claims, in whole or in part, are preempted by the Labor Management Relations Act.

14

15

16

17

18

19

## TWENTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(National Labor Relations Act Preemption)

Plaintiff's Complaint against Costco is preempted, in whole or in part, by the National Labor Relations Act, 29 U.S.C. § 151, et seq., and the exclusive jurisdiction with respect to Plaintiff's preempted claims is vested in the National Labor Relations Board.

20

21

22

23

24

25

#### TWENTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Full Performance)

Costco has fully performed any contractual, statutory or other alleged duties to Plaintiff, other than those which have been excused or discharged, and Plaintiff is thus barred from recovery.

26

27

28

-6-

1 2

## TWENTY-SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

3

(Failure to Exhaust Company Procedures)

As to any complaints Plaintiff did have while employed with Costco, Plaintiff failed

5

4

to utilize established company procedures and is thereby precluded from asserting any

6

such complaints.

7 8

#### TWENTY-THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

9

(Failure to Exhaust)

10

Plaintiff has failed to exhaust her administrative remedies and procedural

11

prerequisites and, as a result of such failure, is barred from recovery.

12

## TWENTY-FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

14

13

(Failure to Use Corrective Opportunities)

15

Some or all of the claims in the Complaint are barred by Costco's exercise of

reasonable care to prevent and promptly correct any unlawful activity and/or by Plaintiff's

17

unreasonable failure to take advantage of any preventive or corrective opportunities

18 provided by Costco.

19 20

## TWENTY-FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

21

(Mixed-Motive—Harris v. City of Santa Monica)

22

arguendo, however, that Plaintiff proves that an illegal discriminatory reason had been a

Costco denies that it unlawfully discriminated against Plaintiff. Assuming

23

factor in any employment decision or action towards Plaintiff, Costco would have made

25

the same employment decision or taken the same action for legitimate, non-discriminatory

26

27

28

-7-

SMRH:4857-0165-8206.1

reason(s).

ANSWER TO COMPLAINT

Case 2:23-cv-03780

1

2

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## TWENTY-SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Filed 05/17/23

(Avoidable Consequences Doctrine)

At all times relevant to this action, Costco had in place policies that prohibited unlawful discrimination and retaliation, and procedures for reporting and remedying complaints of discrimination and retaliation. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff was aware of the foregoing policies and procedures. Nevertheless, Plaintiff unreasonably failed to take advantage of the foregoing policies and procedures or to avoid harm otherwise. Accordingly, assuming Plaintiff could somehow prove any unlawful discrimination by Costco, which she cannot, Costco would nonetheless have an affirmative defense to any strict liability imposed for any such action(s) by its manager(s), supervisor(s) or agent(s).

TWENTY-SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Unconstitutionality of Punitive Damages)

An award of punitive damages in this action would violate Costco's due process rights and equal protection rights under the United States Constitution and the California Constitution.

Costco presently has insufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to whether they may have additional, as yet unstated, affirmative defenses available. Costco reserves the right to assert additional affirmative defenses in the event discovery indicates that such defenses will be appropriate

WHEREFORE, Costco prays as follows:

1. That Plaintiff take nothing by way of her Complaint;

-8-

2. That judgment be entered in favor of Costco;

SMRH:4857-0165-8206.1

1 2 3 4	action; and	<ol> <li>4.</li> </ol>	That Costco be awarded its costs and fees incurred in defense of this  For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and
5	proper.		
6			
7			
8	Dated: May	15, 20	23
9			SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP
10			
11			Ву
12			TRAVIS J. ANDERSON JENNA G. CRAWFORD
13			
14			Attorneys for Costco Wholesale Corporation
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul>			
24 25			
26			
27			
28			
			0
	SMRH:4857-0165-8	206.1	-9- ANSWER TO COMPLAINT

SMRH:4857-0165-8206.1

-10-

**EXHIBIT D, PAGE 33**